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| **2018 SETAC Student Poster Award Review**Carolinas SETAC, Research Triangle Park, NC |
| Session: |  | Day: |  | Poster No: |  |
| Poster Title: |  |
| Awards Fellowship Committee Contact |  |
| **Criteria:** | **Rating (Points)** |
|  | Unsatisfactory (0 pts) | Fair(5 pts) | Satisfactory(10 pts) | Good(15 pts) | Excellent(20 pts) |
| Abstract |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presentation / Content |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Understanding |  |  |  |  |  |
| Originality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall Quality |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL SCORE |  |
| **Aspects:** |
| Abstract: | Accurate synopsis of poster/platform content; objectives, results, and conclusions clearly states; methods described sufficiently to relate to conclusions; title a good index to content. |
| Presentation/Content: | Clear, logical and concise. Text sufficient to explain illustration and to state objectives and conclusions, but not excessive. Ability to respond to questions. |
| Content: | Clearly stated objectives and conclusions, data adequate, analysis and summaries appropriate; illustrations appropriate, understandable, informative. |
| Level of Understanding: | Presentation of background and supporting information indicate that the student has a strong grasp of the science to the area of study. |
| Originality: | New technique, instrument, or idea; new contribution to knowledge, evidently originating with the student. |
| Overall Quality: | Poster / Platform appropriate methodology and interpretation of results, conconculsiveness. |
| **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (e.g., suggested areas of improvement, most impressive aspects.):** |
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|  |
| REVIEWER |  | DATE: |  |